

SCOPUS titles evaluation score



Category 1 - Journa policy (25% of overall score)

| Criteria | Scoring basis | Source of score | Maximum possible points per crit. | Scored by ECSAC |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| English-language abstracts available | 0 (no English-language abstracts available) 1 (English-language abstracts available) | prepopulated | 1 | |
| Articles have cited references | 0 (none) 1 (few) 2 (most) 3 (all) | prepopulated | 3 | |
| Convincing editorial concept/policy | 0 (not convincing) 1 (poor) 2 (fair) 3 (good) 4 (excellent) | reviewer | 4 | |
| Level of peer-review | 0 (no peer-review, no information found) 1 (open peer-review) 2 (single-blind peer-review) 3 (double-blind peer-review) | prepopulated | 3 | |
| Diversity in provenance of editors | 0 (no editorial board) 1 (regional diversity of editorial board is not in line with editorial concept) 2 (regional diversity of editorial board is partly in line with editorial concept) 3 (regional diversity of editorial board is entirely in line with editorial concept) | reviewer | 3 | |
| Diversity in provenance of authors | 1 (regional diversity of authors is not in line with editorial concept) 2 (regional diversity of authors is partly in line with editorial concept) 3 (regional diversity of authors is entirely in line with editorial concept) | reviewer | 3 | |
| | | | 17 | |

Category 2 - Presentation of content (20% of overall score)

| Criteria | Scoring basis | Source of score | Maximum possible points per crit. | Scored by ECSAC |
|---|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Relevance of research | 0 (poor) 1 (fair) 2 (good) 3 (excellent) | reviewer | 3 | |
| Clarity of abstracts | 0 (no abstracts) 1 (abstracts non-English) 2 (abstracts in English, but not clear) 3 (abstracts in English, and fairly clear) 4 (abstracts in English, and very clear) | reviewer | 4 | |
| Conformity with the journal's stated aims | 0 (poor) 1 (fair) 2 (good) 3 (excellent) | reviewer | 3 | |
| Readability of articles | 0 (poor) 1 (fair) 2 (good) 3 (excellent) | reviewer | 3 | |
| | | | 13 | |

Category 3 - Citedness (35% of overall score)

| Criteria | Scoring basis | Source of score | Maximum possible points per crit. | Scored by ECSAC |
|----------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Citedness of journal | 0 (citedness below expectations) 1 (citedness according to expectations) 2 (citedness above expectations) | reviewer | 2 | |
| Citedness of editors | 0 (citedness below expectations) 1 (citedness according to expectations) 2 (citedness above expectations) | reviewer | 2 | |
| | | | 4 | |

Category 4 - Timeliness (10% of overall score)

| Criteria | Scoring basis | Source of score | Maximum possible points per crit. | Scored by ECSAC |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Timeliness of publication | 0 (> 2 issues delays) 1 (1 issues delays) 2 (published on time) | prepopulated | 2 | |
| | | | 2 | |

Category 5 -Accessibility (10% of overall score)

| Criteria | Scoring basis | Source of score | Maximum possible points per crit. | Scored by ECSAC |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Content available online | 0 (recent content not available online) 1 (recent content available online) | prepopulated | 1 | |
| English-language homepage available | 0 (not in English) 1 (partly in English) 2 (entirely in English) | prepopulated | 2 | |
| Quality of homepage | 0 (no homepage available) 1 (poor) 2 (fair) 3 (good) 4 (excellent) | reviewer | 4 | |
| | | | 7 | |

| Overall score | Range | Recommend. Vote |
|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| | 8.0 - 10.0 | accept journal |
| | 6.0 - 7.9 | case of doubt |
| | 0 - 5.9 | reject journal |