

การประชุมเพื่อชี้แจงขั้นตอนการนำ  
วารสารเข้าสู่ฐานข้อมูล SCOPUS  
ผ่านศูนย์ TCI รุ่นที่ 1

7 กันยายน 2554



# วัตถุประสงค์

เพื่อพิจารณาวารสารไทยเข้าสู่ฐานข้อมูล SCOPUS ผ่านคณะกรรมการผู้เชี่ยวชาญและที่ปรึกษาในการคัดเลือกวารสารประจำประเทศไทย (Expert Content Selection and Advisory Committee of Thailand (ECSAC of Thailand))

# ประโยชน์ที่คาดว่าจะได้รับ

1. เพิ่ม visibility ให้กับวารสารวิชาการไทยทั้งในระดับชาติและระดับนานาชาติ ส่งผลให้วารสารไทยถูกนำไปใช้อ้างอิงเพิ่มมากขึ้น
2. วารสารไทยมีช่องทางในการเผยแพร่ข้อมูลไปถึงกลุ่มเป้าหมายได้สะดวกรวดเร็วมากขึ้น
3. บรรณาธิการวารสารไทยมีความเข้าใจถึงแนวทางในการพัฒนาคุณภาพของวารสารตามมาตรฐานสากลมากขึ้น
4. วารสารไทยมีคุณภาพและมาตรฐานในระดับสากลเพิ่มมากขึ้น
5. วารสารไทยในฐานข้อมูล SCOPUS และฐานข้อมูลสากลอื่นๆ มีจำนวนเพิ่มมากขึ้น
6. วารสารไทยมีการบริหารจัดการที่ดีขึ้น ส่งผลให้วารสารสามารถออกตรงตามเวลาที่กำหนด และมีคุณภาพของบทความที่ดีขึ้น

# รายชื่อคณะกรรมการ E-CSAC of Thailand

## 14 Subject Chairs


No.	Name	E-CSAC Chair	Position
1	Professor Dr. Yongyuth Yuthavong	Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics; Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology; Neuroscience	chairman
2	Professor Dr. Amara Prasithratsint	Arts & Humanities	member
3	Professor Dr. Jaturon Thirawat	Social Sciences	member
4	Associate Professor Dr. Mathuros Ruchirawat	Environmental Science	member
5	Professor Dr. Mongkol Thechakumphu	Psychology, Dentistry; Veterinary Sciences	member
6	Professor Dr. Naksitte Coovattanachai	Engineering	member
7	Professor Dr. Narasri Vaivanijkul	Economics/Business	member
8	Professor Dr. Peerasak Srinives	Agricultural & Biological Sciences; Immunology & Microbiology	member
9	Professor Dr. Prachum Suwattee	Mathematics	member
10	Professor Prasit Futrakul	Nursing; Health Professions	member
11	Professor Dr. Thanawat Jarupongsakul	Earth & Planetary Science	member
12	Professor Dr. Vicharn Panich	Medicine	member
13	Assistant Professor Vudhipong Techadamrongsin	Computer Science	member
14	Professor Dr. Narongrit Sombatsompop	Physics & Astronomy; Chemistry, Chemical Engineering, Energy, Material Sciences	member and secretary

# รายชื่อคณะกรรมการ E-CSAC of Thailand (ต่อ)


## 13 General Board Member

No.	Name	Subject Expertise	Position
1	Professor Dr. M.R. Jisnuson Svasti	Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology (all)	member
2	Professor Dr. Pasuk Phongpaichit	Economics, Econometric and Finance (all)	member
3	Professor Dr. Pratchaya Wesarat	Social Sciences (all)	member
4	Professor Dr. Sawasd Tantaratana	Engineering (all)	member
5	Professor Dr. Suthat Yoksan	Physics and Astronomy (all)	member
6	Professor Dr. Suwilai Preamsirat	Arts and Humanities (all)	member
7	Professor Dr. Vichai Boonsaeng	Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology (all)	member
8	Professor Dr. Vimolsiddhi Horayangkura	Social Sciences (all)	member
9	Professor Dr. Visut Baimai	Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology (all)	member
10	Professor Dr. Yodhathai Thebtaranonth	Chemistry (all)	member
11	Professor Dr. Yongwimon Lenbury	Mathematics (all)	member
12	Dr. Nongyao Premkamolnetr	Social Sciences (all)	assistant secretary
13	Assistance Professor Teerasak Markpin	Social Sciences (all)	assistant secretary

# Work flow



# Work flow



# Pre-selection Evaluation

Final Vote	1	YES, review this title
	2	No, do not review this title
Supporting Reason	1	Poor quality of abstracts
	2	Poor quality of presented research
	3	Missing indication of quality control (e.g. peer review)
	4	Low citation activity on editorial board level
	5	No international diversity among authors
	6	Subject area already well covered in Scopus
	7	Title not easily accessible (e.g. non-English website)
	8	Title is too new to take a decision
	9	Title is hardly cited
	10	Delayed publishing schedule
	11	Not really scientific
	12	No international diversity among editors
	13	Not covered in standard bibliographic databases
	14	Poor homepage
	15	Unclear editorial concept/strategy
	16	Inferior journal policy
	17	Poor content
	18	Citedness below expectations
	19	Delay in publishing schedule
	20	Journal not easily accessible online
	21	Other (please indicate in column P)



# Titles pre-selection review

1	JOURNAL TITLE	
	Final vote	YES, review this title
	Supporting reason	N/A
	Other supporting reason	Very good diversities of editors and authors. Citednesses of the editor and selected editorial board member are good. The journal format and publishing style are consistent and looks professional.
	Other comments	Keywords should be provided. The journal policy should be expanded.
2	JOURNAL TITLE	
	Final vote	YES, review this title
	Supporting reason	N/A
	Other supporting reason	This journal is indexed by the Thai-Journal Citation Index (TCI) centre (of Thailand) and is very well-known among Thai scientists. Highly cited among Thai journals in the top 25% quartile assessed by the Thai-journal impact factors, yearly announced by TCI centre. Good diversities of editors and editorial boards as well as publishing authors. Clear journal policy with good presented research.
	Other comments	N/A
3	JOURNAL TITLE	
	Final vote	YES, review this title
	Supporting reason	N/A
	Other supporting reason	Good diversities of Editorial Boards and publishing authors. This journal is published by the Mathematical Association of Thailand. Although the journal is too new, but highly recognized among the Thai mathematicians. Besides, the published articles indicate Subject Classification Codes which are easy for searching and retrieving.
	Other comments	N/A

## Titles pre-selection review (cont.)

Final Vote	1	YES, review this title	Frequency
	2	No, do not review this title	
Supporting Reason	1	Poor quality of abstracts	0
	2	Poor quality of presented research	0
	3	Missing indication of quality control (e.g. peer review)	2
	4	Low citation activity on editorial board level	2
	5	<b>No international diversity among authors</b>	<b>8</b>
	6	Subject area already well covered in Scopus	0
	7	Title not easily accessible (e.g. non-English website)	1
	8	Title is too new to take a decision	2
	9	Title is hardly cited	1
	10	Delayed publishing schedule	0
	11	Not really scientific	1
	12	<b>No international diversity among editors</b>	<b>6</b>
	13	Not covered in standard bibliographic databases	0
	14	<b>Poor homepage</b>	<b>5</b>
	15	<b>Unclear editorial concept/strategy</b>	<b>9</b>
	16	Inferior journal policy	4
	17	<b>Poor content</b>	<b>5</b>
	18	<b>Citedness below expectations</b>	<b>6</b>
	19	Delay in publishing schedule	1
	20	Journal not easily accessible online	0
	21	Other (please indicate in column P)	0

# Titles pre-selection review (cont.)

4	JOURNAL TITLE	
	Final vote	No, do not review this title
	Supporting reason	Poor quality of presented research
	Other supporting reason	Title is too new to take a decision
		Not really scientific
		Poor homepage
		Unclear editorial concept/strategy
		Poor content
		Citedness below expectations
	Other comments	This journal is produced in department level indicated by most of the publishing authors coming from that department. Some issues published 17 papers, all being from independent studies of master students graduated in that year. Most papers in this issue are short articles (2-3 papers) with little scientific information. This is not acceptable for international level yet. The editor in chief has changed very year. Therefore, this journal needs improvement in a little longer time before being reviewed.
5	JOURNAL TITLE	
	Final vote	No, do not review this title
	Supporting reason	No international diversity among authors
	Other supporting reason	Title not easily accessible (e.g. non-English website)
		Title is hardly cited
		No international diversity among editors
		Poor homepage
		Citedness below expectations
		Journal not easily accessible online
	Other comments	N/A

## Titles pre-selection review (cont.)

6	JOURNAL TITLE	
	Final vote	No, do not review this title
	Supporting reason	No international diversity among editors
	Other supporting reason	No international diversity among authors
		Poor homepage
		Unclear editorial concept/strategy
		Inferior journal policy
		Citedness below expectations
		Delay in publishing schedule
	Other comments	No keywords provided and homepage not updated.
7	JOURNAL TITLE	
	Final vote	No, do not review this title
	Supporting reason	Title is too new to take a decision
	Other supporting reason	Unclear editorial concept/strategy
		Citedness below expectations
	Other comments	N/A
8	JOURNAL TITLE	
	Final vote	No, do not review this title
	Supporting reason	No international diversity among editors
	Other supporting reason	No international diversity among authors
		Poor homepage
		Unclear editorial concept/strategy
		Poor content
	Other comments	N/A

# Titles pre-selection review (cont.)

9	<b>JOURNAL TITLE</b>	
	<b>Final vote</b>	No, do not review this title
	<b>Supporting reason</b>	No international diversity among editors
	<b>Other supporting reason</b>	No international diversity among authors
		Missing indication of quality control (e.g. peer review)
		Low citation activity on editorial board level
		Citedness below expectations
	<b>Other comments</b>	There are a number of articles published in Thai. Most references still use references in Thai (not Roman alphabet).
10	<b>JOURNAL TITLE</b>	
	<b>Final vote</b>	No, do not review this title
	<b>Supporting reason</b>	No international diversity among editors
	<b>Other supporting reason</b>	No international diversity among authors
		Low citation activity on editorial board level
		Title is hardly cited
		Unclear editorial concept/strategy
		Inferior journal policy
		Citedness below expectations
	<b>Other comments</b>	N/A
11	<b>JOURNAL TITLE</b>	
	<b>Final vote</b>	No, do not review this title
	<b>Supporting reason</b>	Unclear editorial concept/strategy
	<b>Other supporting reason</b>	No international diversity among editors
		Inferior journal policy
		Poor content
		Citedness below expectations
	<b>Other comments</b>	The readability of this journal is poor. Each article has different format and layout of Tables and Figures. The subjects covered in the journal are too broad and too multi-disciplinary.

# Titles pre-selection review (cont.)

12	<b>JOURNAL TITLE</b>	
	<b>Final vote</b>	No, do not review this title
	<b>Supporting reason</b>	Missing indication of quality control (e.g. peer review)
	<b>Other supporting reason</b>	No international diversity among authors
		Title not easily accessible (e.g. non-English website)
		Poor homepage
		Unclear editorial concept/strategy
	<b>Other comments</b>	Reference format is not consistent. The readability of the articles is poor especially figures, and symbols used. The homepage is not easily understood because they are most in Thai.
13	<b>JOURNAL TITLE</b>	
	<b>Final vote</b>	No, do not review this title
	<b>Supporting reason</b>	No international diversity among editors
	<b>Other supporting reason</b>	No international diversity among authors, inferior journal policy, unclear editorial concept/strategy and poor content
	<b>Other comments</b>	1. Most articles (9 out of 10) were published in Thai.
		2. Still have references in Thai for all articles published in Thai.
		3. All authors are Thai.

ขอบคุณครับ